

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,232	01/10/2006	Cornelis Johannes Adrianus Schetters	NL03 0854 US1	7003
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ SAN JOSE, CA 95131			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DANNY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS · 02/23/2007		02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/564,232	SCHETTERS, CORNELIS JOHANNES ADRIANUS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Danny Nguyen	2836 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	<u> </u>					
	7) Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 7-9 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		(070 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/06.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/564,232 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. Figures 1, 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure of the specification filed 1/10/2006 is objected to because it does not contain background of the invention, field of the invention, brief Summary of the Invention, detailed Description of the Invention, see MPEP 608.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castagnet et al (USPN 5,162,984) in view of Wuidart (USPN 6,219,263). Castagnet discloses a power converter (figure 3) comprises a rectifier arrangement (D1-D4) having

Application/Control Number: 10/564,232 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

inputs coupled to AC source, a pair of series connected capacitors (C1, C2) coupled across the output terminals of the rectifier arrangement, a switch (21) coupled between one of the AC power supply terminals and a midpoint of the series capacitors, the switch being open if a first AC voltage applied to the terminals, and closed if a second voltage applied to the terminals, the first voltage exceeds the second voltage (col.3, 4, lines 67-7). Castagnet does not disclose an over-voltage protection as claimed. Wuidart discloses a power conversion circuit (figure 3) comprises an over-voltage protection circuit (zener 7) coupled between a series capacitors (C1, C2) and one of inputs of rectifier (1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified to circuit of Castagnet to incorporate the over-voltage protection circuit as disclosed by Wuidart in order to protect the switching circuit against a transient voltage condition.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Castagnet et al in view of Wuidart, and Callanan (USPN 5,933,342). Castagnet and
Wuidart disclose all limitations of claim 1 as discussed above, but do not disclose
diodes as claimed. Callanan discloses a power converter device (figure 1) comprises
diodes (37, 38) coupled parallel with series connected capacitors (40, 44). It would have
been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to
have modified to circuit of Castagnet and Wuidart to incorporate diodes as disclosed by
Callanan in order to allow lower voltage used in the rectifier such that it provides more
robust during recovery (col. 2, 3, lines 66-4).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/564,232 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 2/9/2007

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800